


Technical Appendix 13-3 Telecommunications Impact Study

EIAR – Volume 3

Muingmore Wind Farm

SLR Project No.: 501.065301.00001

April 2026

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Report

Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study

Document Number:

Author: David McGrath


Approved for Release: Rev 4.0 K.H. **Date:** 27/03/26

Document Filename: *Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study Report*

© copyright Ai Bridges Ltd. 2026

Copyright of this document is vested in Ai Bridges Limited. Ai Bridges Limited shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material. No part of this document may be photocopied, reproduced, or translated to another language, without prior written permission of Ai Bridges Limited.

Copyright of this document is vested in Ai Bridges Limited. Ai Bridges Limited shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material. No part of this document may be photocopied, reproduced, or translated to another language, without prior written permission of Ai Bridges Limited.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Executive Summary


Ai Bridges was commissioned to evaluate the possible impacts that the proposed wind farm development at Muingmore, Co. Mayo could have on existing telecommunications operator networks. The scope of work included field and desktop surveys to determine telecommunications network infrastructure that could be impacted by the proposed development. Consultations with telecom operators were also undertaken to assist in identifying network infrastructure that could be impacted by the proposed wind farm.

Telecommunications mast-sites with network infrastructure that could potentially be impacted by the development were identified and a field survey of each of these sites was carried out. During the field surveys, radio antennas with bearings in the direction of the wind farm were recorded. The findings of the field surveys are provided in Appendix B of this report.

Consultations with telecom network operators first commenced in 2020 when the proposed development was known as “*Glencastle Wind Farm*”. The telecom operators were subsequently contacted in October 2024 and were advised of the most recent site layout and the name change to “*Muningmore Wind Farm*”. The operators were requested to raise any concerns they may have regarding impacts to their networks due to the proposed development. The responses received (i.e. the 2020 and 2024 responses) from each of the telecom operators are presented in Section 3 of this report.

Using the information obtained during the field survey assessments and consultation process a desktop impact analysis was carried out and all of the telecommunication operator networks were analysed using radio planning \ modelling software. Results from the impact analysis indicate that there are no licensed microwave radio links that pass over the proposed wind farm site.

None of the Telecommunication Operators contacted during the consultation process raised any concerns regarding telecommunications networks operating in the licence-exempt frequency bands. Also, there was no impacts reported by any of the telecommunications operators operating GSM Radio Access, Mobile Broadband Data Access, Tetra, Telemetry or TV/Radio Transmission networks.


	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Sections


Section 1 - Wind Farm Site Information	4
Section 2 - Methodology	6
Section 3 - Telecom Operator Consultations	9
Section 4 - Field Surveys	18
Section 5 - Desktop Survey Analysis	20
Section 6 - Conclusions	22

Appendix

Appendix A – Muingmore Wind Farm Turbine Coordinates	24
Appendix B – Field Survey Findings	26

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 1 - Wind Farm Site Information

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

1. Introduction

In this section a brief summary of the wind farm site is provided. Details regarding the site’s geographic location and the proposed wind turbine dimensions are presented.

1.1 Wind Farm Site Information

The wind farm development is located in approximately 10 km southeast of Belmullet in County Mayo.

The boundary for the study area was supplied to Ai Bridges in geo-referenced shapefiles format and part of the initial telecoms constraint mapping process. This shapefile was submitted to telecoms operators during the consultation process (Section 3). The study area for the proposed wind farm development is shown below in Figure 1.

This study area boundary, together with the telecoms signals and services emanating from mast-site infrastructure identified during the telecoms operator consultations, constitutes the assessment area used within this report. The coordinates of the proposed turbine layout are provided in Appendix A.

It should be noted that this Telecommunicator Impact Assessment was carried out before the Turbine Layout (i.e. turbine quantity, numbering scheme, locations and dimensions) was finalized. However, there will be no impact on the overall findings of this report due to any variations in the final turbine layout, as the proposed turbines will be confined to the assessed study area boundary.






Figure 1. Location of Muingmore Wind Farm, County Mayo.

Wind Farm	Number of Turbines	Turbine Hub Height	Turbine Rotor Diameter
Muingmore	13	98.5 m -105 m	149 m – 163 m

Table 1. Muingmore Wind Farm Turbine Details

 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 2 - Methodology

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

2. Introduction

In this section a brief summary of the Telecommunication Impact Study Methodology is provided.

2.1 Methodology

There are four primary stages in preparing and compiling a communication impact study:

- Telecom Operator Consultations
- Field Surveys
- Desktop Survey Network Modeling and Analysis
- Report Generation

A summary of each of these stages is provided below:

Telecom Operator Consultations

Consultations are commenced with telecom operators who are requested to raise any concerns they have regarding the impact of the proposed wind farm on their networks. The consultation process is used to assist in identifying telecoms infrastructure that could be impacted by the proposed wind farm development.


Field Surveys

Field surveys are undertaken and the co-ordinates of communication masts are recorded. During the field surveys of the communication sites, approximations of antenna size, bearing and height are made for the antennas installed on each of the masts surveyed.

Desktop Survey and Analysis

A desktop survey is carried out to plot the wind turbines in a radio planning tool. The radio planning tool uses GIS and terrain mapping databases to enable accurate modelling. A selection of mast-site coordinates is then obtained and inputs from various operators \ service providers are converted from Irish National grid (Easting and Northing in meters) to degrees minutes seconds format and then imported into the radio planning tool.

This provides a means of graphically showing telecommunications sites in the vicinity relative to the proposed wind farm at Muingmore. Figure 2 below shows the proposed wind farm site boundary plotted in the radio planning tool.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26




Figure 2. Wind Farm Site Boundary plotted in Radio Planning Software

The findings from the consultations and field surveys are collated and the communications networks requiring further analysis are identified. Network modeling is used to assess the impact of the turbines on the communications networks.

The results from the network modeling are used to determine if mitigation measures are required. Figure 3 below shows an example of a microwave radio link that crosses over/near the wind farm boundary modelled in radio planning software.






Figure 3. Example of microwave radio link crossing over the wind farm site boundary modelled in radio planning software.

Report Generation

The final stage of the communications impact study process is to collate the data and present the findings & analysis into a report for submission.

 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 3 - Telecom Operator Consultations

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3. Introduction

In this section the consultation process undertaken with telecom operators is described. The response received from each operator is also provided.


3.1 Telecom Operator Consultations

Consultations with telecom network operators first commenced in 2020 when the proposed development was known as “Glencastle Wind Farm”. The telecom operators were subsequently contacted in October 2024 and were advised of the updated site layout and name change to “Munigmore Wind Farm”. The operators were requested to raise any concerns they may have regarding impacts to their networks due to the proposed development. Table 3 lists the telecom operators contacted and the issues raised by the operators. The responses received from each of the Telecom Operators are provided in Sections 3.1.1 to 3.1.22.

ID	Operator	Issues raised by Operator \ Observations	
		2020 Response	2024 Response
1	2RN	No issues regarding transmission links; however, 2RN have requested that a protocol document be signed (regarding the TV broadcast service in the area).	No issues regarding transmission links; however, 2RN have requested that a protocol document be signed (regarding the TV broadcast service in the area).
2	AirNav Ireland	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
3	Airwave	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
4	Atlantek Computers	No response.	No issues.
5	An Garda Síochána	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
6	Coimisiún na Meán (formerly the BAI)	No issues.	No issues.
7	BT Ireland	No issues.	No issues.
8	CIE	N.A.*	No issues.
9	Dept. of Defence	N.A.*	Pending review by relevant Military Authorities.
10	Eir	No Issues.	No issues.
11	Enet	Enet raised a concern regarding one Licensed PTP microwave radio link.	No issues.
12	ESB Services	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
13	HSE	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
14	Imagine Broadband	No issues.	No issues.
15	Mayo County Council	No response.	No response. (No response expected.)
16	Ripplecom / Viatel	No issues.	No issues.
17	Tetra Ireland (TI)	No issues.	No issues.
18	Three Ireland	No issues.	No issues.
19	Uisce Éireann	N.A.*	No issues.
20	Virgin Media	No issues.	No issues.
21	Vodafone Ireland	No issues.	No issues.
22	Westnet	No response.	No issues.

Table 2. Telecom Operators Consulted

* Telecom Operator not contacted during initial consultations undertaken in 2020.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3.1.1 2RN Response to Consultations

2RN provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“The proposed windfarm at Glencastle will not cause any interference to 2RNs fixed linking. There is however a risk to DTT Viewers receiving from out Site at Achill. We would therefore suggest that a protocol be signed with the Developer should the site go ahead.”

2024 Response

“There will be no impact to our fixed linking. There is a risk of interference to broadcast services from our site on Achill Island, we would therefore ask that a protocol be signed between 2rn and the developer if the site goes ahead.”

3.1.2 AirNav Ireland Response to Consultations

AirNav Ireland provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

N.A. – Not contacted in 2020

2024 Response

To date no response has been received.

3.1.3 Airwave Ireland Response to Consultations

Airwave provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

N.A. – Not contacted in 2020

2024 Response

To date no response has been received.

3.1.4 Atlantek Computers Response to Consultations

Atlantek provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

No response.

2024 Response

“Any structures within the outlined areas of the provided site map will not adversely impact our network.”

3.1.5 An Garda Síochána Response to Consultations


An Garda Síochána provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

No response.

2024 Response

No response.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3.1.6 Coimisiún na Meán (formerly the BAI) Response to Consultations

Coimisiún na Meán / BAI provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“The BAI does not perform an in-depth analysis of the effect of wind turbines on FM networks. However, we are not aware of any issues from existing windfarms into existing FM networks. Also, the proposed windfarms are not located close to any existing or planned FM transmission sites.”

2024 Response

“Coimisiún na Meán does not perform an in-depth analysis of the effect of wind turbines or electrical sub stations on FM networks. However, we are not aware of any issues from existing windfarms or electrical sub stations into existing FM networks. Also, the proposed sub station is not located close to any existing or planned FM transmission sites.”

3.1.7 BT Response to Consultations

BT provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“BT are in process of winding down our microwave network which will be complete in the coming months. We only have a network presence in Louth and Waterford.”

2024 Response

“BT no longer have a radio microwave network.”

3.1.8 CIE Response to Consultations

CIE provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

N.A. – Not contacted in 2020

2024 Response

“Irish Rail [CIE] has no wireless services in the vicinity of this proposed development.”

3.1.9 Department of Defence Response to Consultations


The Department of Defence provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

N.A. – Not contacted in 2020

2024 Response

“The Department of Defence wishes to acknowledge receipt of your email below re: proposed Wind Farm Development at Muingmore, Co Mayo. We will consult with the relevant Military Authorities and we will revert in due course.”

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3.1.10 Eir Response to Consultations

Eir (Eir Mobile / OpenEir) provided the following email response(s) to consultations:


2020 Response

"We have no transmission services that will be affected by these works. Best of luck with your proposal." (Eir Mobile)




"There are no eircom Limited microwave links in or through the proposed site" (OpenEir)



	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

2024 Response

“We have no transmission links within the proposed area at Muingmore Wind Farm, Co Mayo and it has no risk to the network for Eir Mobile or the Eir fixed network.”



3.1.11 Enet Response to Consultations

Enet provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“We have one link passing over this area currently.”

A-End Coordinates	A-End Height	B-End Coordinates	B-End Height	Freq
53°57'25.00"N 10° 1'37.00"W	15m	54°16'20.54"N 9°49'34.91"W	15m	8GHz

2024 Response

“This shouldn’t affect us any longer.”

3.1.12 ESB Response to Consultations


ESB provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

No response.

2024 Response

No response.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3.1.13 HSE Response to Consultations

The HSE provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

No response.

2024 Response

No response.

3.1.14 Imagine Broadband Response to Consultations

Imagine Broadband provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“This development will not pose any issues for Imagine.”

2024 Response

“Thanks for the update. Imagine remain unaffected by this development”

3.1.15 Mayo County Council Response to Consultations

Mayo County Council provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

No response.

2024 Response

No response.

3.1.16 Ripplecom / Viatel Response to Consultations

Ripplecom / Viatel provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“That won’t effect us.”

2024 Response

“There is no impact to Viatel wireless infrastructure.”

3.1.17 Tetra Ireland Response to Consultations


Tetra Ireland provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

“We anticipate no impact from the development as proposed. Can you ensure the proposal is also reviewed by eir.”

2024 Response

“No change.”

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

3.1.18 Three Ireland Response to Consultations

Three Ireland provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

"I have reviewed the area map for the proposed Glencastle WF development and 3Ireland have no MW links traversing or that will be affected."

2024 Response

"This development will have no impact on our transmission network. Thanks for checking."

3.1.19 Uisce Éireann Response to Consultations

Uisce Éireann provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

N.A. – Not contacted in 2020

2024 Response

"I can confirm that UÉ have no radio links traversing the proposed development."

3.1.20 Virgin Media Response to Consultations

Virgin Media provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response

"Virgin Media do not have any links in the area that would be effected by this development."

2024 Response

"Virgin Media Ireland DO NOT have any radio links in this area."

3.1.21 Vodafone Response to Consultations

Vodafone provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response


"Please see response below."


Indication of any LOS microwave links that are affected by the proposed location of this proposed wind farm development. N/A

Site co-ordinates of the telecoms tower locations of microwave links that may be impacted by the proposed development. N/A

Antenna mast heights for both ends of each link. N/A

Network \ Overlay maps showing impacted telecoms infrastructure traversing the proposed wind farm development. N/A."

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26



2024 Response

“There have been no changes to the Vodafone network in the area since 2020. The analysis from Chris below is still valid. This development will not impact any Vodafone transmission.”

3.1.22 Westnet Response to Consultations


Westnet provided the following email response(s) to consultations:

2020 Response


No response.

2024 Response

“No changes to our wireless network that would be impacted by this development.”

 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 4 - Field Surveys

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26


4. Introduction

To assess the accuracy of the network information (radio link co-ordinates, antenna heights etc.) provided by the telecom operators, field surveys of the telecom-mast sites in the vicinity of the proposed wind farm were carried out. During the field surveys, radio antennas with bearings in the direction of the wind farm were recorded.


The telecom mast-sites surveyed for this study (labelled Mast-Site A to Mast-Site E) are shown relative to the proposed wind farm site in Figure 4 below. The findings from the field surveys of the mast-sites are presented in Appendix B of this report.



Figure 4. Telecom Mast-Sites Surveyed.


 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 5 - Desktop Survey Analysis


 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

5. Introduction

Based on the most recent (October 2024) findings of the consultation process, there are no Telecom Operators with radio links in the vicinity of the proposed wind farm that require a detailed technical analysis.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Section 6 - Conclusions

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

6. Conclusions

From the findings made in this report the following conclusions have been made:

- Results from the telecom operator consultations and desktop survey analysis indicate that there are no Licensed PTP microwave radio links that cross over the proposed wind farm site. In the event that a wind turbine is erected in a location that would block a radio link path, the operation of the link could be impacted.
- None of the Telecommunications Operators contacted during the consultation process have raised any concerns regarding; License-Exempt Telecommunications, GSM Access, Mobile Broadband Data Access, Tetra, TV/Radio Transmission or Telemetry network infrastructure.
- Figure 5 has been provided to illustrate the Telecommunications links that could potentially be impacted by the proposed wind farm (i.e. no radio links impacted).






Figure 5. Radio Links potentially impacted by the proposed wind farm (No radio links impacted).

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

APPENDIX A – Muingmore Wind Farm Turbine Co-ordinates


	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Appendix A – Muingmore Wind Farm Turbine Co-ordinates


The coordinates of the proposed turbine layout are provided in Table A1 below.

Turbine ID	Co-ordinates (ITM)	
	Latitude	Longitude
T01	475806	823891
T02	476156	824041
T03	476506	823991
T04	477006	823091
T05	476998	823635
T06	477564	823173
T07	477624	823705
T08	476357	822557
T09	476464	822151
T10	476198	821592
T12	477164	821788
T13	477072	822318

Table A1 - Turbine Co-ordinates

 <i>Total Broadband Solutions</i>	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

APPENDIX B – Field Survey Findings

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26


Appendix B – Field Survey Findings

The telecom mast-sites surveyed for this Telecoms Impact Study are shown relative to the proposed wind farm site in Figure 6 below.



Figure 6. Telecom Mast-Sites shown relative to proposed wind farm.

The findings from the field surveys of each of the mast-sites are presented below.

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Mast-Site A (Dooncarton)


Telecommunications Mast-Site A is located in the townland of Dooncarton, Co. Mayo and is approximately 14 km north of the proposed wind farm. A photo of the mast-structure at this location is shown below. The Telecom Operators who have radio links operating from this mast-site in the direction of the wind farm are listed in Table 3.



Figure 7. Mast-Site A

Mast ID	Telecom operators with radio links in direction of proposed wind farm
Mast A	Enet (2020)

Table 3. Field Survey Summary – Mast-Site A

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Mast-Site B (Belmullet)


Telecommunications Mast-Site B is located in the town of Belmullet, Co. Mayo and is approximately 10 km northwest of the proposed wind farm. A photo of the mast-structure at this location is shown below. The Telecom Operators who have radio links operating from this mast-site in the direction of the wind farm are listed in Table 4.



Figure 8. Mast-Site B

Mast ID	Telecom operators with radio links in direction of proposed wind farm
Mast B	None

Table 4. Field Survey Summary – Mast-Site B

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Mast-Site C (Bangor Erris)


Telecommunications Mast-Site C is located in the town of Bangor Erris, Co. Mayo and is approximately 9 km east of the proposed wind farm. A photo of the mast-structure at this location is shown below. The Telecom Operators who have radio links operating from this mast-site in the direction of the wind farm are listed in Table 5.



Figure 9. Mast-Site C

Mast ID	Telecom operators with radio links in direction of proposed wind farm
Mast C	None

Table 5. Field Survey Summary – Mast-Site C

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Mast-Site D (Lagduff Beg)


Telecommunications Mast-Site D is located in the townland of Lagduff Beg, Co. Mayo and is approximately 7 km southeast of the proposed wind farm. A photo of the mast-structure at this location is shown below. The Telecom Operators who have radio links operating from this mast-site in the direction of the wind farm are listed in Table 6.



Figure 10. Mast-Site D

Mast ID	Telecom operators with radio links in direction of proposed wind farm
Mast D	None

Table 6. Field Survey Summary – Mast-Site D

	Procedure: 001	Rev: 4.0
Title: Muingmore Wind Farm Telecommunications Impact Study	Approved: KH	Date: 27/03/26

Mast-Site E (Minaun Mt, Achill)

Telecommunications Mast-Site E is located in Minaun Mt, Achill, Co. Mayo and is approximately 21 km southwest of the proposed wind farm. A photo of the mast-structure at this location is shown below. The Telecom Operators who have radio links operating from this mast-site in the direction of the wind farm are listed in Table 7.



Figure 11. Mast-Site E

Mast ID	Telecom operators with radio links in direction of proposed wind farm
Mast E	None

Table 7. Field Survey Summary – Mast-Site E

Copyright of this document is vested in Ai Bridges Limited. Ai Bridges Limited shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material. No part of this document may be photocopied, reproduced, or translated to another language, without prior written permission of Ai Bridges Limited.